Unary adaptive subtraction of joint multiple models with complex wavelet frames

S. Ventosa⁽¹⁾, S. Le Roy, I. Huard, A. Pica⁽²⁾, H. Rabeson, L. Duval*⁽³⁾ ⁽¹⁾ IPGP, ⁽²⁾CGGVeritas, ⁽³⁾ IFP Energies nouvelles Contacts: ventosa@ipgp.fr,laurent.duval@ifpen.fr



Data and three multiple models, common offset plane (need for a model-based, non-stationary, adaptive multiple filtering).

Complex wavelet frame decomposition

• Complex Morlet wavelet definition:

$$\psi(t) = \pi^{-1/4} e^{-i\omega_0 t} e^{-t^2/2}, \quad \omega_0$$
: central frequent

• Discretized time r, octave j, voice v:

$$\psi_{r,j}^{v}[n] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{j+v/V}}} \psi\left(\frac{nT - r2^{j}b_{0}}{2^{j+v/V}}\right), \quad b_{0}: \text{ sampling at}$$

• Time-scale analysis:

$$\mathbf{d} = d_{r,j}^v = \left\langle d[n], \psi_{r,j}^v[n] \right\rangle = \sum_n d[n] \overline{\psi_{r,j}^v[n]}$$



4.2

2.8

3

Data and model trace Morlet wavelet scalograms.

3.8

2.8

icy	(1)
scale zero	(2)
	(3)

3.4 3.6 Time (s)

3.2

3.8





Unary filter estimation

matches:

 $\mathbf{a}_{opt} = \arg \min$ $\{a_k\}(k \in$

• Vector Wiener equations for complex signals:

$$\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{x}_m
angle$$
 =

• Time-scale synthesis:

$$\hat{d}[n] = \sum_{r} \sum_{j,v} \hat{d}_{r,j}^{v} \widetilde{\psi}_{r,j}^{v}[n]$$



Adapted joint and individual model trace Morlet wavelet scalograms.

TaM0: Non-stationary, wavelet-based, adaptive multiple removal TaM1: "Complex" wavelet transform + simple one-tap (unary) filter TaM2: Redundancy selection: noise robustness and processing speed TaM3: Smooth adaptation to adaptive joint multiple model filtering

- SNR-based wavelet parameter selection
- controllable redundancy allows:
- simple stable synthesis dual frame
- resistance to field noise
- computational efficiency balanced
- Morlet wavelet frame
- approximately analytic
- sliding window processing along scales

• Windowed adaptation: complex a_{opt} compensates local delay/amplitude mis-

$$\inf_{K(K)} \left\| \mathbf{d} - \sum_{k} a_k \mathbf{x}_k \right\|^2$$

$$\sum_{k} a_k \left< \mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_m \right>$$



(4)

(5)

(6)

- physics, 75, WB255–WB263.
- Process., 91, 2699–2730.
- physics, **77**, V183–V192.



SEG Annual Meeting, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA, 4-9 November 2012

Results: field data multiple filtering

Subtraction results: (top) model 3 (bottom) joint multi-model multiple removal. Some multiples better attenuated around 3s, random noises reduced.

References

[1] Herrmann, F. J. and D. Wang and D. J. Verschuur, 2008, Adaptive curvelet-domain primary-multiple separation: Geophysics, 73, A17-A21.

[2] Donno, D., H. Chauris, and M. Noble, 2010, Curvelet-based multiple prediction: Geo-

[3] Neelamani, R., A. Baumstein, and W. S. Ross, 2010, Adaptive subtraction using complex-valued curvelet transforms: Geophysics, **75**, V51–V60.

[4] Jacques, L., L. Duval, C. Chaux, and G. Peyré, 2011, A panorama on multiscale geometric representations, intertwining spatial, directional and frequency selectivity: Signal

[5] Ventosa, S., H. Rabeson, P. Ricarte, and L. Duval, 2011, Complex wavelet adaptive multiple subtraction with unary filters: Proc. EAGE Conf. Tech. Exhib.

[6] Ventosa, S., S. Le Roy, I. Huard, A. Pica, H. Rabeson, P. Ricarte, and L. Duval, 2012, Adaptive multiple subtraction with wavelet-based complex unary Wiener filters: Geo-

